

Lower Los Angeles River Implementation Advisory Group

Project Information Packet

The Lower LA River Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) aims to ensure that projects are carried out in accordance with the Lower LA River Revitalization Plan and that stakeholders continue to have a voice during implementation. In its role as an advisory body, the IAG provides guidance on proposed projects, but ultimately, individual entities with jurisdiction along the river corridor including the LA County Flood Control District, the US Army Corps of Engineers, and the cities will retain decision-making authority.

| Project Name | |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Project Location | |
| Current Project Phase | |
| Project Proponent | |
| Mailing Address | |
| | |
| Contact Person | Department/Title |
| Email | Phone |

Project Description (Attach map / site layout / concept sketch):

References / Letters of Support (If available, list and attach):

Project Discussion

The intent of the Project Information Packet (PIP) is to help guide project proponents in developing projects that are consistent with the Lower Los Angeles River Revitalization Plan (LLARRP). Also, the PIP may identify areas where the IAG and its three Committees can serve as a resource to aid in finding funding, project partners, innovation, etc. The IAG Committees include Public Realm, Environment, Water & Infrastructure (PREWI), Programs & Policies (PP), and Public Advisory & Community Engagement (PACE).

The table below lists questions by topic and generally identifies which IAG Committee is the most involved with the certain topic. Please answer the following questions as completely as possible given the stage of the project.

| | PREWI | ΡР | PACE | Question | Yes | No | |
|------------------------|---|----------|------|--|-----|----|--|
| General | | | | 1. Is the project consistent with the Lower Los Angeles River Revitalization Plan (LLARRP)? | | | |
| Ğ | | | | Explain: | | | |
| | | | | 2. Does the project improve the overall water quality of the Los Angeles River? | | | |
| ter | | | | Explain: | | | |
| Water | | | | 3. Does the project implement stormwater capture, water conservation practices, and/or other methodologies? | | | |
| | | | | Explain: | | | |
| | | | | 4. What environmental documentation (CEQA, NEPA, etc.) will be required or has been completed for the project? | | | |
| بد | | Explain: | | | | | |
| Environment | | | | 5. Are there any activities associated with the project that could impact the environment such as trash, pet waste, or chemicals? | | | |
| vird | | | | Explain: | | | |
| Ш | | | | 6. Will the project reduce or mitigate GHG emissions, improve air quality, and/or improve water quality? | | | |
| | | | | Explain: | | | |
| Infrastructure | 7. Will the project r channels, open spac transportation corri | | | 7. Will the project modify existing infrastructure such as flood control channels, open space, utility corridors, ROW land use, and transportation corridors? | | | |
| <u>i</u> | | Explain: | | Explain: | | | |
| | | | | 8. Does the project create habitat or improve ecosystem health within the watershed? | | | |
| at a stei | | | | Explain: | | | |
| Habitat & Ecosystem | | | | 9. Is there native Los Angeles River riparian/wetland vegetation planned for the project? | | | |
| | | | | Explain: | | | |

| | PREWI | РР | PACE | Question | Yes | No | | |
|--------------|-------|----|---|--|-----|----|--|--|
| | | | | 10. Is the project multi-benefit? | | | | |
| | | | | Explain: | | | | |
| | | | | 11. Does the project include a public safety aspect, (e.g., lighting, fencing, access, shade, etc.)? | | | | |
| | | | | Explain: | | | | |
| E | | | 12. Is the project located on public land for use by the public? | | | | | |
| Public Realm | | | | Explain: | | | | |
| lic F | | | | 13. Does the project include interpretive and educational signage? | | | | |
| Pub | | | | Explain: | | | | |
| | | | | 14. Does the project include any local art or cultural elements? | | | | |
| | | | | Explain: | | | | |
| | | | | 15. Does the project include nature-based solutions to address public land and community needs (e.g., trees for shade and air quality, wetlands for flood control and water quality, etc.)? Explain: | | | | |
| | | | | 15. Is there potential funding the project proponent is seeking for the project? | | | | |
| 50 | | | | Explain: | | | | |
| Funding | | | | 16. Are there any funding gaps identified in the project? | | | | |
| Ful | | | | Explain: | | | | |
| | | | | 17. Are there any identified partnerships? | | | | |
| | | | | Explain: | | | | |
| | | | | 18. Are there plans for addressing safety and security within the project limits? | | | | |
| | | | | Explain: | | | | |
| Policy | | | | 19. Is the project identified in any existing plans/policies (federal, state, local)? | | | | |
| đ | | | | Explain: | | | | |
| | | | | 20. Has the city in which the project will be located adopted any of the policies described in the <u>Community Stabilization Toolkit</u> ? | | | | |
| | | | | Explain: | | | | |
| | | | | 21. Is there a group the project intends to serve (e.g., residents, youth, pedestrians, cyclists, artists, equestrians, bird watchers)? | | | | |
| | | | | Explain: | | | | |
| Equity | | | | 22. Is the project in a disadvantaged community? | | | | |
| Eq | | | | Explain: | | | | |
| | | | | 23. Will the project create any potential negative impacts on the local community? | | | | |
| | | | | Explain: | | | | |

| | PREWI | ΡР | PACE | Question | Yes | No |
|---------------------------------------|-------|----|--|---|-----|----|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | 24. Does the project address the concerns of equity, displacement, and environmental justice? | | | |
| | | | | Explain: | | |
| t | | | | 25. Has the project been engaging the community in its development process? | | |
| me | | | | Explain: | | |
| Community Engagement | | | | 26. Has the project consulted with the Native nations it intends to build land on? | | |
| ty B | | | | Explain: | | |
| uni | | | | 27. Are there future plans for community engagement? | | |
| uu uu | | | | Explain: | | |
| ů – | | | | 28. Has the project received feedback? | | |
| | | | | Explain: | | |

Building Blocks

The LLARRP developed a vast array of Building Blocks – modular concepts and strategies – that can be assembled in a variety of ways to develop specific projects, policies, and programs. Please indicate the Building Blocks that the proposed project intends to use.

| Applied | Building Block | Applied | Building Block |
|---------|--|---------|---|
| | Active space | | Leverage pump stations to convey stormwater |
| | Additional permanent homeless shelters | | Lighting |
| | Bike hubs | | Loop and spur trails |
| | Bioswales | | Low income access |
| | Boardwalks and overlooks | | Low water crossings |
| | Brownfields remediation | | Low-flow channel modifications |
| | Climbing wall | | Multi-use publicly-owned properties |
| | Commercial zone access | | Onsite water recycling |
| | Community garden | | Open space access |
| | Community gateway | | Overcrossing |
| | Continuous park space | | Oyster bed restoration |
| | Curb cuts/street eddy basins | | Passive space |
| | Destination stops | | Pedestrian and cyclist access |
| | Diversion to sanitary sewer | | Permeable paving |
| | Drinking fountains | | Plazas |
| | Dry wells | | Pop-up parks |
| | Elevated paths | | Property acquisition |
| | Equestrian trails | | Public art and murals |
| | Floodplain expansion | | Recreation center |
| | Green infrastructure | | Regional gateway |
| | Habitat area | | Shade (veg. or structural) |
| | Historical, cultural, environmental education/assets/signage | | Transit-oriented development |
| | Homeless space sharing | | Tunnels |
| | Infiltration basins and trenches | | Undercrossing |
| | In-river access and safety | | Water capture and onsite storage |
| | Leverage planned regional water recycling projects | | Water recreation |

Source: Lower Los Angeles River Revitalization Plan, Volume II, p. 1.3-16 – 1.3-19, Table 1.3-1.